

## Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 CAIRO 09462 131220Z

44

ACTION OES-06

INFO OCT-01 NEA-10 IO-13 ISO-00 ERDA-05 ERDE-00 SSO-00

NSCE-00 USIE-00 INRE-00 CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-04 H-02

INR-07 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-05 PA-01 PRS-01 SP-02 SS-15

ACDA-07 EB-07 NRC-05 FEAE-00 AID-05 ACDE-00 /099 W

----- 122237

O 131152Z JUL 76

FM AMEMBASSY CAIRO

TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 5184

INFO AMEMBASSY TEL AVIV IMMEDIATE

USMISSION IAEA VIENNA

C O N F I D E N T I A L CAIRO 9462

EO 11652: GDS

TAGS: ENRG PFOR

SUBJECT: US/EGYPTIAN NUCLEAR AGREEMENT FOR COOPERATION

REF: STATE 172048

1. AMB CALLED ON MINISTER EL-GUEBEILY THIS MORNING TO GIVE HIM PROPOSED LANGUAGE TO BE ADDED AT END OF 9TH PARAGRAPH OF NOTE (PARA 1 REFTEL). GUEBEILY HAD WITH HIM DR. KAMEL EFFAT, HEAD OF EGYPTIAN NUCLEAR AGENCY. AMB POINTED OUT TO GUEBEILY THAT LANGUAGE WE WERE PROPOSING WAS FULLY CONSISTENT WITH THE ORAL UNDERTAKING HE HAD GIVEN TO DEP PRIMIN SULTAN AND GUEBEILY LAST SATURDAY.

2. AFTER GOING THROUGH OUR PROPOSED LANGUAGE SEVERAL TIMES AND CHECKING IT AGAINST TEXT OF AGREEMENT, GUEBEILY SAID HE HAS TWO PROBLEMS WITH IT. FIRST, HE IS CONCERNED THAT OUR LANGUAGE ABOUT CONSULTING "CLOSELY WITH THE GOVERNMENT OF THE ARE AND THE STATES WITH WHICH GOVERNMENT OF ARE HAS BILATERAL SAFEGUARD ARRANGEMENT . . ." IS TOO GENERAL. GOE MIGHT, FOR EXAMPLE, HAVE BILATERAL ARRANGEMENTS WITH VARIOUS STATES THAT HAVE NOTHING TO DO  
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 CAIRO 09462 131220Z

WITH REPLICATING FACILITIES. GUEBEILY SUGGESTED, THEREFORE,

THAT THE WORD "CONCERNED" BE INSERTED BEFORE THE PHRASE "STATES WITH WHICH THE GOVERNMENT OF THE ARE HAS BILATERAL SAFEGUARD ARRANGMENTS . . ." IN HIS JUDGMENT, THIS WOULD LIMIT THE CONSULTATION TO STATES WITH WHICH GOE HAS AGREEMENTS WHICH MIGHT RESULT IN REPLICATING FACILITIES AND BE CONSISTENT WITH EXPLANATION GIVEN GOE RE ARTICLE XI (C) WHERE THE TERM "APPLICABLE SUPPLIERS" WAS USED (PARA 4 (A) (3) OF STATE 169472).

3. SECOND, GUEBEILY QUESTIONED THE NEED FOR THE WORD "NEEDLESS" BEFORE "DUPLICATION". THIS, HE ARGUED, SUGGESTS THERE MIGHT BE A NEED FOR SOME DUPLICATED ARRANGEMENTS. FOR PRACTICAL REASONS, WHOLE PURPOSE OF EXERCISE SHOULD BE TO AVOID DUPLICATION AND HE UNDERSTOOD THIS IS ALSO OUR OBJECTIVE. GUEBEILY POINTED OUT THAT, IN THE HYPOTHETICAL EVENT TO WHICH REFERENCE IS MADE, THE US BILATERAL WILL APPLY UNTIL AND UNLESS ALL PARTIES, INCLUDING THE USG, AGREE TO A NEW SINGLE REPEAT SINGLE SYSTEM. AGAIN, SPEAKING HYPOTHETICALLY, LATTER MIGHT INVOLVE ADDITIONAL POINTS NOT IN THE US BILATERAL. IF, HOWEVER, USG REFUSES ACCEPT ANY MULTILATERAL SAFEGUARD REGIME, THE US BILATERAL CONTINUES TO APPLY. DUPLICATION, HE REPEATEDLY EMPHASIZED SHOULD BE AVOIDED IN THE INTEREST OF ALLOWING THE OPERATORS TO GET ON WITH THEIR WORK.

4. GUEBEILY EXPRESSED THE HOPE THAT THESE REVISIONS WOULD BE ACCEPTABLE TO US. HE ASKED IF IT MIGHT BE POSSIBLE TO HAVE A RESPONSE BY TOMORROW IN ORDER TO "FINALIZE" THE MATTER. AMB INDICATED HE DID NOTKNOW WHAT WASHINGTON'S REACTION WOULD BE, BUT AGREED TO SUBMIT THESE IDEAS.

5. GUEBEILY AND EFFAT THEN ASKED ABOUT NEXT STEPS. AMB POINTED OUT THAT, AS HE HAD PREVIOUSLY INDICATED, OUR PURPOSE AT THE MOMENT IS TO DIRECT OUR EFFORTS TOWARDS READYING THE EGYPTIAN AND ISRAELI AGREEMENTS FOR POSSIBLE SUBMISSION TO THE CONGRESS BY OR SOON AFTER ITS JULY 19 RESUMPTION. DECISION AS TO WHETHER THE AGREEMENTS WILL BE SUBMITTED MUST BE MADE BY THE PRESIDENT.  
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 CAIRO 09462 131220Z

EILTS

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01 JAN 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** NUCLEAR AGREEMENTS  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 13 JUL 1976  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** KelleyW0  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1976CAIRO09462  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** GS  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Film Number:** D760269-0503  
**From:** CAIRO  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1976/newtext/t19760765/aaaacfig.tel  
**Line Count:** 108  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM  
**Office:** ACTION OES  
**Original Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 2  
**Previous Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Previous Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** 76 STATE 172048  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** KelleyW0  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 09 AUG 2004  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** WITHDRAWN <24 MAR 2004 by greeneet, REFER TO DOE>; APPROVED <09 AUG 2004 by KelleyW0>; RELEASED <09 AUG 2004 by KelleyW0>; APPROVED <09 AUG 2004 by KelleyW0>  
**Review Markings:**

Margaret P. Grafeld  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
04 MAY 2006

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** US/EGYPTIAN NUCLEAR AGREEMENT FOR COOPERATION  
**TAGS:** ENRG, PFOR, US, EG  
**To:** STATE  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MAY 2006